



State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 2 of 18

2. Case 05-REP-05-0077 Teamsters Local Union No. 413, affiliated with the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, and Southwest Licking School District  
April 4, 2006

The Employee Organization filed a Petition for Representation Election seeking to represent certain employees of the Employer. The Employer responded by filing a Petition for Representation Election proposing an alternative bargaining unit. A conference call was conducted, but the parties did not reach an agreement concerning an appropriate bargaining unit. A mediation conference was scheduled. Prior to the conference, the parties entered into a Consent Election Agreement seeking an election on April 4, 2006. Board Member Verich moved that the Board approve the Consent Election Agreement and direct elections to be conducted on the date indicated. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

3. Case 06-REP-03-0027 Trotwood Professional Firefighters IAFF 4024 and City of Trotwood

The Employee Organization is the Board-certified exclusive representative of certain employees of the Employer. The parties jointly filed a Petition for Amendment of Certification seeking to amend the existing unit to reflect an internal reorganization. Board Member Verich moved that the Board approve the jointly filed petition and amend the unit accordingly. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

4. Case 05-REP-11-0171 Ohio Association of Public School Employees (OAPSE)/AFSCME Local 4, AFL-CIO and Terra Community College

The Employee Organization filed a Request for Recognition seeking to represent certain employees of the Employer. The Employer responded by filing objections and a Petition for Representation Election. The Employer maintained the proposed bargaining unit contains employees who are management and/or supervisors. Several conference calls were conducted; however, the parties did reach an agreement concerning an appropriate bargaining unit. Board Member Verich moved that the Board direct the case to hearing to determine an appropriate bargaining unit and for all other relevant issues, and direct the parties to mediation. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 3 of 18

5. Case 05-REP-09-0136 Thelma Conley and Ohio Association of Public School Employees Head Start, Local 155 and Dayton Public Schools Board of Education, Head Start
- There were 21 ballots cast
  - There were 0 challenged ballots
  - No Representative received 9 votes
  - Ohio Association of Public School Employees Head Start, Local 155 received 12 votes and has prevailed in this election.
6. Case 05-REP-03-0030 Ohio Patrolmen's Benevolent Association and Ohio Council 8, American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees, Local 7 and City of Toledo
- There were 63 ballots cast
  - There were 0 challenged ballots
  - No Representative received 0 votes
  - Ohio Patrolmen's Benevolent Association received 20 votes
  - Ohio Council 8, American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees, Local 7 received 43 votes and has prevailed in this election.
7. Case 05-REP-09-0122 Ohio Patrolmen's Benevolent Association and Teamsters Local No. 92 and City of Alliance
- There were 20 ballots cast
  - There were 0 challenged ballots
  - No Representative received 0 votes
  - Teamsters Local No. 92 received 0 votes
  - Ohio Patrolmen's Benevolent Association received 20 votes and has prevailed in this election.
8. Case 05-REP-09-0123 Ohio Patrolmen's Benevolent Association and Fraternal Order of Police, Ohio Labor Council, Inc. and City of Avon
- There were 16 ballots cast
  - There were 0 challenged ballots
  - No Representative received 0 votes
  - Fraternal Order of Police, Ohio Labor Council, Inc. received 2 votes
  - Ohio Patrolmen's Benevolent Association received 14 votes and has prevailed in this election.

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 4 of 18

9. Case 05-REP-12-0177 United Steelworkers, AFL-CIO-CLC and City of Reynoldsburg

- There were 16 ballots cast
- There were 0 challenged ballots
- No Representative received 5 votes
- United Steelworkers, AFL-CIO-CLC received 11 votes and has prevailed in this election.

10. Case 05-REP-10-0149 Willard Professional Fire Fighters IAFF Local 4468 and City of Willard

- There were 3 ballots cast
- There were 0 challenged ballots
- No Representative received 0 votes
- Willard Professional Fire Fighters IAFF Local 4468 received 3 votes and has prevailed in this election.

Board Member Verich moved that the Board certify the election results and certify each prevailing employee organization as the exclusive representative of all employees in the relevant bargaining unit. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: Affirmed  X  GILLMOR:  Aye  VERICH:  Aye   
Denied \_\_\_\_\_

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RECOMMENDATIONS AT ISSUE:

1. Cases 05-ULP-06-0329 Geneva Area Teachers Association, OEA/NEA and Gina Monahan v. Geneva Area City School District Board of Education  
05-ULP-06-0330
2. Case 05-ULP-02-0106 SERB v. River Valley Local School District Board of Education
3. Case 05-ULP-03-0148 SERB v. Youngstown State University
4. Case 05-ULP-08-0465 Ohio Council 8, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Local 2197, AFL-CIO

Board Member Verich moved that the Board approve and adopt the settlement agreements, construe the settlement agreements as motions to withdraw and dismiss, grant the motions, dismiss the complaints, and dismiss with prejudice the unfair labor practice charges. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: Affirmed  X  GILLMOR:  Aye  VERICH:  Aye   
Denied \_\_\_\_\_



State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 6 of 18

2. Case 05-ULP-10-0565 Willard E. Estep v. State of Ohio,  
Department of Transportation

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(4) by terminating the Charging Party for giving testimony at a lawsuit. The investigation revealed the charge was untimely filed. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice as untimely filed. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

3. Case 05-ULP-10-0569 Hillsboro Education Association, OEA/NEA  
v. Hillsboro City School District Board of  
Education

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by unilaterally making changes during re-opener negotiations that required new employees to have a two-day orientation. The investigation revealed the matter was strictly contractual. Attendance at the orientation was not mandatory. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

4. Case 05-ULP-09-0518 Four County Vocational Education  
Association, OEA/NEA v. Four County Joint  
Vocational School District Board of  
Education

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by changing the bargaining-unit employees' insurance. The investigation revealed the Charged Party is a member of the Northern Buckeye Education Council, which is composed of School Boards, County MR/DD Boards, and Local, City, and Vocational School Districts for the purpose of obtaining insurance. The Charged Party did not make the changes to the insurance plan. The matter was strictly contractual with no statutory violation. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 7 of 18

5. Case 05-ULP-12-0671 Larry E. Greene v. Amalgamated Transit Union Local 627

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(B)(6) by failing to fairly represent the Charging Party. The investigation revealed the issues raised involve internal union matters that do not rise to the level of a violation. Knowledge of the alleged violation occurred more than 90 days before the charge was filed with the Board. No mitigating circumstances existed that warrant equitable tolling of the statute of limitations. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party, and as untimely filed. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

6. Case 05-ULP-11-0620 Freight Workers, Dockworkers and Helpers Local Union #24 v. Franklin Township, Portage County

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (3) by terminating Gerald Moore for engaging in protected activities. The Charged Party did not respond to the allegations of the charge. The investigation revealed Mr. Moore was terminated for supporting a union being certified. Board Member Verich moved that the Board find probable cause to believe an unfair labor practice has been committed, authorize the issuance of a complaint, and refer the matter to hearing to determine if the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (3) by terminating Gerald Moore for his exercise of guaranteed rights, and direct the parties to ULP mediation. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

7. Cases 05-ULP-11-0632 Fred Billey v. City of Lakewood  
05-ULP-11-0633 Ohio Council 8, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Local 1043, AFL-CIO v. City of Lakewood

The unfair labor practice charges alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1), (7), and (8) by intimidating Mr. Billey for processing his grievances, and by interfering in the administration of the Union. The investigation revealed no evidence of intimidation existed. The assignment was pursuant to the contract. Insufficient information existed to support the Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(7) and (8) allegations. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charges with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that unfair labor practices have been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 8 of 18

8. Case 05-ULP-12-0680 William H. Nix v. Amalgamated Transit Union, Local 268

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(B)(1), (2), and (6) by failing to fairly represent all bargaining-unit members. The investigation revealed the internal union matter was handled pursuant to the Charged Party's constitution. The Charging Party did not advance the Charging Party's appeal. Insufficient information was provided to support the Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(B)(1) and (2) allegations. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

9. Case 05-ULP-12-0681 William H. Nix v. Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1), (2), (3), and (8) by interfering with the Charging Party's rights. The investigation revealed insufficient information existed to show that any employee requesting time off was denied. Insufficient information existed to support the allegation of Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(2), (3), and (8) violations. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

10. Case 05-ULP-09-0531 Norwood City School District Board of Education v. Ohio Council 8, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Local 3136, AFL-CIO

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(B)(3) by entering into a Memorandum of Understanding and then contacting Charging Party's Board Members urging them to reject the memorandum. The investigation revealed negotiations were over. The contact was with the Charging Party in its capacity as a legislative body and not as the Employer. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 9 of 18

11. Case 05-ULP-12-0666 Crestline Education Association, OEA/NEA v. Crestline Exempted Village School District Board of Education

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by unilaterally removing the Technology Coordinator position from the bargaining unit. The investigation revealed the bargaining-unit position was not eliminated, and it had not been filled. The new position did not contain any instructional requirements and had not been filled. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: Affirmed  X  GILLMOR:  Aye  VERICH:  Aye   
Denied \_\_\_\_\_

12. Case 05-ULP-12-0670 Ohio Civil Service Employees Association, AFSCME Local 11, AFL-CIO, Chapter 7330 and Curtis Campbell v. State of Ohio, Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, Southern Ohio Correctional Facility, and Warden Edwin Voorhies

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Parties violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (3) by intimidating Nicolas Brabson in the exercise of his guaranteed right to file a grievance. The investigation revealed Mr. Gordon Bullion may have coerced his staff to try to get the grievance dropped. No harm was evident. Board Member Verich moved that the Board find probable cause to believe an unfair labor practice has been committed, authorize the issuance of a complaint, refer the matter to hearing to determine if the Charged Parties violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1), but not (3), when Supervisor Gordon Bullion coerced his staff to try to get Nicholas Brabson to drop his grievance, and direct the parties to unfair labor practice mediation. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: Affirmed  X  GILLMOR:  Aye  VERICH:  Aye   
Denied \_\_\_\_\_





State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 12 of 18

17. Case 05-ULP-11-0609 Ohio Civil Service Employees Association, AFSCME Local 11, AFL-CIO v. Akron/Canton Bureau of Workers' Compensation, et al.

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Parties violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1), (2), and (3) by interfering with, restraining, and coercing employees in their exercise of guaranteed rights. The investigation revealed the employee had representation. The Charging Party was able to provide assistance. Insufficient information existed to support the allegation of an Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(2) violation. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Parties. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

18. Case 05-ULP-09-0503 Luther Baxter, et al. v. Ohio Council 8, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Local 101, AFL-CIO (Dayton Public School Union)

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(B)(6) by agreeing to implement a shift system in retaliation against those employees who were seeking a new bargaining representative and an all-out departmental shift-bidding system. The investigation revealed no unlawful motive existed behind the Charged Party's actions. The Charged Party's actions were not arbitrary, discriminatory, or in bad faith. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 13 of 18

19. Case 05-ULP-10-0561 Christopher Green v. Ohio Council 8,  
American Federation of State, County and  
Municipal Employees, Local 2058, AFL-CIO

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(B)(1) and (6) by failing to address a classification issue, failing to process the Charging Party's grievance, and refusing to provide requested information. The investigation revealed the Charging Party was not restrained or coerced in his exercise of guaranteed rights. The Charging Party filed the grievance at Step 1. The Charged Party did not advance the grievance because of the merits. The Charged Party did take other actions to resolve other issues regarding the grievance settlement. The Charged Party's actions were not arbitrary, discriminatory, or in bad faith. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

20. Case 05-ULP-09-0533 Geraldine D. Szuter v. Cleveland Teachers  
Union Local 279, American Federation of  
Teachers, AFL-CIO

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(B)(6) by failing to represent the bargaining-unit employees. The investigation revealed no unlawful motive existed by the Charged Party pursuing a grievance on behalf of laid-off employees. The Charged Party's actions were not arbitrary, discriminatory, or in bad faith. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 14 of 18

21. Case 05-ULP-09-0510 Cleveland Teachers Union Local 279,  
American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO  
v. Cleveland City School District Board of  
Education and Paula Woods

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Parties violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by dealing directly with bargaining-unit employees. The investigation revealed the Charged Parties were not attempting to bargain with the Charging Party through the bargaining-unit members. Board Member Verich moved that the Board dismiss the charge with prejudice for lack of probable cause to believe that an unfair labor practice has been committed by the Charged Party. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

22. Case 05-ULP-08-0460 Lorain City School Association of Classified  
Employees, OEA/NEA v. Lorain City School  
District Board of Education

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by abolishing bargaining-unit positions, and by assigning those duties to nonbargaining-unit positions. The investigation revealed that some of the bargaining-unit duties were transferred out of the bargaining unit. Board Member Verich moved that the Board find probable cause to believe an unfair labor practice has been committed, authorize the issuance of a complaint, refer the matter to hearing to determine if the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by unilaterally transferring bargaining-unit duties to nonbargaining-unit employees, and direct the parties to unfair labor practice mediation. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 15 of 18

23. Case 05-ULP-11-0613 Ohio Association of Public School Employees, AFSCME Local 4, AFL-CIO v. Bexley City School District Board of Education

The unfair labor practice charge alleged the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by unilaterally changing the work hours and wages of bargaining-unit employees. The Charged Party filed a motion to defer to arbitration. The Charging Party filed a response to the motion stating it did not object to the deferral. In interpreting contract provisions such as Article 5 - "Hours and Overtime," the arbitrator will determine whether the changes were in accordance with the terms of the collective bargaining agreement. Board Member Verich moved that the Board grant the motion to defer the matter for resolution through the grievance-arbitration procedure and retain jurisdiction in accordance with *In re Upper Arlington Ed Assn*, SERB 92-010 (6-30-92). Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

24. Case 04-ULP-03-0153 St. Clairsville Education Association, OEA/NEA v. St. Clairsville-Richland City School District Board of Education

The Charging Party filed an unfair labor practice charge against the Charged Party alleging the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by assigning bargaining-unit teaching duties to nonbargaining-unit aides. The Board deferred the unfair labor practice charge to the parties' grievance-arbitration procedure pursuant to Option Three of *In re Upper Arlington Ed Assn*, SERB 92-010 (6-30-92). The Charging Party filed a motion to withdraw the referenced unfair labor practice charge. Board Member Verich moved that the Board grant the motion to withdraw, and dismiss the charge with prejudice. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 16 of 18

25. Case 05-ULP-09-0498 Liberty Center Classroom Teachers Association, OEA/NEA v. Liberty Center Local School District Board of Education

The Board previously dismissed the referenced unfair labor practice charge for lack of probable cause. The charge had alleged that the Charged Party violated Ohio Revised Code § 4117.11(A)(1) and (5) by unilaterally making changes to the insurance program. The Charging Party filed a motion for reconsideration of the Board's decision. No new information was provided not already addressed in the original investigation. Board Member Verich moved that the Board deny the Charging Party's motion for reconsideration with prejudice. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: Affirmed  X  GILLMOR:  Aye  VERICH:  Aye   
Denied \_\_\_\_\_

26. Case 05-ULP-09-0511 Ohio Association of Public School Employees, AFSCME Local 4, AFL-CIO and Its Local 378 v. Fairfield City School District Board of Education

27. Case 05-ULP-11-0618 Fraternal Order of Police, Ohio Labor Council, Inc. v. City of Cuyahoga Falls and Chief of Police John Conley

28. Case 06-ULP-01-0002 Dover Education Association, OEA/NEA v. Dover City School District Board of Education

29. Case 06-ULP-02-0048 Ohio Association of Public School Employees, AFSCME Local 4, AFL-CIO and Its Local 292 v. Madison Local School District Board of Education

30. Case 05-ULP-12-0657 Service Employees International Union, District 1199 v. Cleveland Public Library

Board Member Verich moved that the Board construe the letter and requests as motions to withdraw, and grant all motions. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: Affirmed  X  GILLMOR:  Aye  VERICH:  Aye   
Denied \_\_\_\_\_

State Employment Relations Board  
Board Meeting Minutes  
March 16, 2006  
Page 17 of 18

VI. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

**Babbage Simmel** – Executive Director Craig Mayton recommended the Board approve the Statement of Work authorization expenditure for the Clearinghouse Project Contract. The rates are based on blended amounts. The amount for this contract is between \$108,000 and \$112,000 and is further determined upon DAS processes.

Board Member Verich moved that the Board authorize the expenditure of up to \$112,000 for the Clearinghouse Project Contract. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

**New copier** -- Executive Director Mayton recommended that the copier in the Board area be replaced. The factors determining replacement are; age (vintage 1996), unavailability of spare parts, and cost. The maximum cost for the new copier would be \$7,421.

Board Member Verich moved that the Board authorize the purchase of a new copier for the Board area at a cost of no more than \$7,421. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

**Bulgarian Project Bid Update** – The bid was unsuccessful.

**Annual Wage Settlement Report** – The report is due August 1, 2006.

**Harold Paddock** – Executive Director Mayton recommended that the Board approve the personal service contract with Harold Paddock to provide services as an administrative law judge during the remainder of the fiscal year.

Board Member Verich moved that the Board authorize the personal service contract with Harold Paddock of \$15,000. Vice Chairman Gillmor seconded the motion and called for the vote.

Vote: GILLMOR: Aye VERICH: Aye  
Affirmed X Denied \_\_\_\_\_

**OSU Election** -- Executive Director Mayton applauded the labor relations specialists in the Representation and Investigation Sections for conducting the multi-site election held on March 8, 2006.

**Operation Feed Update** – Agency employees held a Book/Media Sale and raised \$159.76. To date, the employees have raised \$1,564.00 – or 3,128.00 meals – compared to last year with a total of \$1,861.25 and 3,722 meals. Operation Feed is still underway.

